





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/757,564 | 01/11/2001 | Katsuya Inana | Q62620 | 8322 |
| 7590 07/15/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | MION, ZINN, MACPE | GARCIA, GABRIEL I | | |
| 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2624 | 4 |

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | - | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Summer | 09/757,564 | INANA, KATSUYA | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Gabriel I Garcia | 2624 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| ·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | tters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. | • | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 2-8 is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | ar | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 January 2001 is/are | | phiected to by the Evaminer | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | * * | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | , and the second se | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| • | . mainaithe con de a 25 11 0 0 | 8.440(-) (1) (0) | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau | rity documents have beer | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies no | t received. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2. | 6) Other: | • | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/757,564

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Suzuki (JP11-292360).

With regard to claim 1, Suzuki teaches a printer loaded with a roll of recording paper (abstract) comprising: a paper supply device for feeding out the recording paper from the loaded roll (see fig. 3a and 3b); a cutting device (14) for cutting the fed out recording paper at appropriate lengths; a control device (5) for controlling a pre-cutting process whereby a leading end of the recording paper is cut at a predetermined length and is rejected from the printer before an image is printed on the recording paper (see abstract and fig. 3, which depicts how a piece of the newly loaded roll is removed); and a precut memory device (7), said pre-cut memory device storing pre-cut data of a first value representing that the loaded recording paper has gone through the pre-cutting process or pre-cut data of a second value representing that the loaded recording paper has gone through the pre-cutting process, wherein said control device refers to said pre-cut memory device and executes said pre-cutting process only when the pre-cut data has

Application/Control Number: 09/757,564

Art Unit: 2624

the first value (reads on the abstract and figs. 1-5), clearly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to store the values of the precutting sensor to determine the starting of a new roll.

Conclusion

- 3. Claims 2-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not teach the printer loaded as described in claim 1 further storing the pre-cut data of the first values when the roll of recording paper or a spool of the roll is unloaded.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mogi (2001/0008596 A1) teaches a printer with paper aligning device.

Fukuda et al. (2001/0004284 A1) teaches a method of printing calibration pattern and printer.

Codos et al. (6,435,117) teaches a printing system having a roll of recording paper.

Application/Control Number: 09/757,564

Art Unit: 2624

Takahashi (6,246,486) teaches an information processing apparatus having an information application program to determine the end of print processing and restart application program processing.

McCormick et al. (5,524,844) teaches an apparatus for preparing a leading edge of the web material.

Freermann (5,018,416) teaches a method of an apparatus for cutting pieces from an elongated textile web.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Gabriel I. Garcia** whose telephone number is (703) 305-8751. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM-6:00 PM. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Gabriel I. Garcia Primary Examiner July 10, 2004

> GABRIEL GARCIA PRIMARY EXAMINER